



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,017	08/29/2003	George M. Hurtis	YOR920030311US1	9678

7590 05/25/2006

Philmore H. Colburn II
CANTOR COLBURN LLP
55 Griffin Road South
Bloomfield, CT 06002

EXAMINER

WOO, ISAAC M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2166

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/652,017	Applicant(s) HURTIS ET AL.	
	Examiner Isaac M. Woo	Art Unit 2166	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to application, filed on August 29, 2003 has been considered but are deemed moot because of Restrictions Request below.
2. Claims 1-36 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-26 and 29-36, drawn to method, system and storage medium with machine-readable computer program codes for at least one top level node, at least one leaf node dependent upon the at least one top level node, assigning attributes to nodes in the hierarchy, the attributes sharing uniform characteristics, and selectively assigning at least one dimensional attribute to a node operable for invoking an analysis based upon the at least one dimensional attribute, wherein dependent nodes inherit dimensional attributes assigned to corresponding upper level nodes,

which is creating hierarchy data structure, classified in class 707, subclass 102.

- II. Claims 27-28, drawn to system, for a data collection component operable to collecting raw data, a closed loop/corrective action component operable for resolving nonconformance issues resulting from analysis, an analytic engine in communication with the data collection component, the multi-dimensional commodity model component, the closed loop/corrective action component, wherein the analytic engine performs, receiving the raw data from the data collection component, receiving the commodity constituent model, performing analytics on the raw data according to rules defined by the commodity constituent model, if the performing analytics results nonconformance, transmitting nonconformance data to the closed loop/corrective action component, which is analyzing raw data with data structure constituent model for data structure referencing, classified in class 707, subclass 103Z.

4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instance case, invention I can be used for at least one top level node, at least one leaf node dependent upon the at least one top level node, assigning attributes to nodes in the hierarchy, the attributes sharing uniform

Art Unit: 2166

characteristics, and selectively assigning at least one dimensional attribute to a node operable for invoking an analysis based upon the at least one dimensional attribute, wherein dependent nodes inherit dimensional attributes assigned to corresponding upper level nodes, which is creating hierarchy data structure. Invention II can be used for a data collection component operable to collecting raw data, a closed loop/corrective action component operable for resolving nonconformance issues resulting from analysis, an analytic engine in communication with the data collection component, the multi-dimensional commodity model component, the closed loop/corrective action component, wherein the analytic engine performs, receiving the raw data from the data collection component, receiving the commodity constituent model, performing analytics on the raw data according to rules defined by the commodity constituent model, if the performing analytics results nonconformance, transmitting nonconformance data to the closed loop/corrective action component, which is analyzing raw data with data structure constituent model for data structure referencing. See MPEP 806.05(d).

5. Because these inventions are distinct for reasons given above and have acquired separate status in the art as shown their different classification, restriction for examination purpose as indicated is proper.

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II each other, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

8. Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).


9. Applicants is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to be non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48 (b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48 (b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17 (i).

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac M. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4043. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T. Alam can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Isaac Woo
May 19, 2006